Sawbridgeworth Town Council

The **Minutes** of the **Town Council's Planning Committee Meeting** held at 08.30 pm on Monday 29 July 2013 at Sayesbury Manor, Bell Street, Sawbridgeworth, Hertfordshire

Present Councillor A Wincott (Chairman) Councillor A Alder Councillor D Filler Councillor B Hodges Councillor W Mortimer

> Mrs G E Abbott (Admin. Officer) Mr R Bowran (Town Clerk)

P 13/32 To record Apologies and Absences

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Pat Coysten

P 13/33 Public Forum - Members of the Public are See page 220. given the opportunity to comment on forthcoming Planning Applications

P 13/34 Declarations of Interest

None.

- **P 13/35** To confirm the Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on 08 July 2013 **RESOLVED –** to confirm as a true record. pages 215-216.
- P 13/36 To receive planning applications from East RESOLVED to make the following comments on the under mentioned applications.

3/13/0596/FP/FM: 17/07/13

19 Honeymeade

Single storey front, two storey side and single The Town Council has **NO OBJECTION.** storey rear extension – Mr David Martin

3/13/0992/FP/SE: 02/07/13

86 Crofters End

Front and rear single storey extensions – Mrs The Town Council has **NO OBJECTION.** Jayne Plume

3/13/1108/FP/FM: 08/07/13 Blenheim House, 23 Knight Street

New gable end and two storey extension to south elevation, new bay window to west elevation, steeper pitched roof to provide living accommodation in loft – Mr Andrew Passfield

3/13/1111/FP/JS: 11/07/13 21 Knight Street

Construction of 1no. three bed and 1no. 4 bed two storey dwellings – Mr Andrew Passfield

The Town Council has NO OBJECTION.

The Town Council **OBJECTS** to this application. The Committee feel that this proposal will be an over development of the site and detrimental to the street scene. It appears therefore to be contrary to policies **BH6 (a)** and **(b)** New Developments in Conservation Areas and **ENV1 (I) (a), (b) and (c)** Design & Environmental Quality, **HSG7 (a), (b)** and **(c)** Replacement Dwellings and Infill Housing.

3/13/1112/LC/FM: 10/07/13 21 Knight Street

Demolition of existing dwelling – Mr Andrew Passfield

3/13/1118/FP/SE: 08/07/13

Land to rear of, The Forebury Substation, The Forebury

Erect new detached four bedroom dwelling with associated garage and parking (amended scheme) – Rambledale Ltd

3/13/1121/FP/SE: 08/07/13 30 Vantorts Road

Two storey and single storey rear and side extensions. Two storey front extension – Mr & Mrs Bramley

3/13/1128/LB: 10/17/2013 28 Knight Street

2no non-illuminated fascia signs, 1no nonilluminated Projecting Sign, 1no. ATM Surround, 1no Branch Nameplate, Redecorate Canopy Top, Doors, Balustrades and Widows as existing. 2no replacement SOHAM security fire exit doors. Dusted crystal vinyl to inner face of glazing to interview room, first floor and staircase windows. Replace 9no hammered glass panes to interview room window. Internal decorations including redecorations of walls, replacement of vinyl, carpet and matting, replacement of lay in grid ceiling. Overboarding of hoist and bulkhead over counters and alterations, surface fixings - Mr James Bell (Agent) for Barclays Bank plc

3/13/1149/FP/FM: 16/07/13 The Lodge, Hyde Hall, Hallingbury Road

Proposed 2 storey rear extension, single storey The Town Council has **NO OBJECTION.** side extension – Mr David Daw

3/13/1207/FP/SE: 18/07/13 2 Linwood

Two storey side extension – Mr Lance Spring

The Town Council **OBJECTS** to this application. The Committee feel that this proposal is contrary to policies **BH4** Demolition in Conservation Areas because consent has not been granted for replacement dwelling(s) and **BH5** also applies.

The Town Council **OBJECTS** to this application. The Committee feel that this proposal is adjacent to the town Library and Memorial Hall which were built in 1940's and is therefore out of keeping with the street scene and is an over development of the site. It appears therefore to be contrary to policies **ENV1 (I) (b), (c), (d) and (g)** Design and Environmental Quality and **HSG7 (a)** Replacement Dwellings and Infill Housing Development.

The Town Council **OBJECTS** to this application. The Committee feel that this proposal will be an over development of the site, detrimental to the street scene and would result in a dwelling of excessive massing and bulk and would therefore be detrimental to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling. It appears therefore to be contrary to policies **ENV1** (I) (a), (b) and (c) Design & Environmental Quality and **ENV5** Extensions to Dwellings.

The Town Council has **NO OBJECTION.**

The Town Council has **NO OBJECTION.**

P 13/37	To receive any late Planning Applications N received following the publication of this Agenda.	None.	
P 13/38	To note Planning Decisions received from East Hertfordshire Council.	IOTED: the report on planning application lecisions now received.	
	3/13/0600/FP 22 Sayesbury Road Flat roof rear dormer		Granted (No Objection)
	3/13/0829/LB The Good Intent, 16 Station Road Internal and external alterations including demolit of outbuilding and removal of external staircase connection with change of use to single dwelling		Granted (No Objection)
	/13/0834/FP The Good Intent, 16 Station Road Change of use of public house and flat to one house vith internal and external alterations including emoval of the external staircase		Granted (No Objection)
	3/13/0835/FP 3 London Road Proposed 1no. 4 bedroom dwelling with new acce to London Road		Granted (No Objection)
	3/13/0981/FP 1 Rowney Cottages, Harlow Road Two storey side and rear extension and single stor rear extension (amended scheme 3/12/0530/FP)		Granted (No Objection)

The Meeting closed at 09.45 pm

Signed_____

Dated_____

<u>COMMENTS MADE BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC</u> <u>PRIOR TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING</u> <u>HELD ON 29 JULY 2013</u>

Re: Agenda Item No. P 13/36 – PLANNING APPLICATIONS RECEIVED FROM EHC

3/13/1111/FP/JS: 11/07/13

21 Knight Street

Construction of 1no. three bed and 1no. 4 bed two storey dwellings - Mr Andrew Passfield

Mr & Mrs Smith – STRONGLY OBJECTS to the above proposal. They feel that:

- the proposed new houses would block their view and destroy the aspect of the most used room in their house after the kitchen
- this new house would stop adequate day light/sun light into their property
- the angles shown on the plans do not express the actual reality
- proposed houses should be moved back
- proposed two storey garage at the rear of the property should be reduced to single storey moved forward by 4-5 metres towards The Forebury
- office space above the garage is not appropriate particularly as the proposed house already has a study
- a number of large trees will be lost which is a natural barrier between the two properties at the moment
- this is an over development